Productive Fragility: Heat-Treated Woven Polyester as a Permeable Boundary Strategy for Lightweight Architecture

Yinxiaoran Jiang

Christie0901@outlook.com

Abstract

Lightweight architecture is often evaluated through efficiency, structural optimisation, and the reduction of material mass. However, its architectural potential also emerges from material behaviours that are commonly associated with weakness, including deformation, instability, shrinkage, and partial failure. This paper investigates how such behaviours can be transformed into a productive design condition through the thermal transformation of woven polyester. It proposes the concept of **productive fragility**, defined as a material state in which controlled deformation and localised transformation generate architectural effects while sufficient continuity and stability are retained. The research combines theoretical review, comparative case studies, and practice-based material experimentation. Drawing on textile theories of Gottfried Semper and Anni Albers, material-oriented design approaches developed by Achim Menges, and discussions of atmosphere and permeability, the study considers woven textile as an active architectural boundary rather than a decorative surface. A series of experiments was conducted using 100% polyester textile because of its thermoplastic behaviour. Two methods of thermal treatment were investigated: kiln heating, which produces distributed shrinkage, fusion, and increased form retention, and localised torch treatment, which generates differential deformation, perforation, surface transformation, and controlled material loss. Material changes were documented and evaluated through temperature, heating duration, dimensional change, stiffness, continuity, form retention, and permeability. The results demonstrate that architectural value does not necessarily depend on achieving uniform material strength. Instead, the combination of stable, flexible, dense, porous, and partially transformed zones can produce differentiated boundary performance. Moderate thermal transformation can enhance stiffness and spatial definition, while localised openings and density variations regulate light, airflow, and visual exchange. Excessive heating, however, leads to brittle edges, loss of continuity, and destructive failure. The study therefore identifies productive fragility as a material threshold between unchanged flexibility and irreversible collapse. The paper argues that heat-treated weaving offers a strategy for developing lightweight architectural boundaries through calibrated material behaviour rather than geometric enlargement alone. Although the current material system requires further structural, environmental, and fire-performance testing, productive fragility provides a framework for understanding how controlled uncertainty and material sensitivity may contribute to permeable, spatially differentiated, and materially expressive architecture.

Keywords productive fragility; lightweight architecture; textile tectonics; material behaviour; permeability; architectural boundary; thermal transformation; polyester

1. Introduction

Architectural boundaries are conventionally associated with solidity, permanence, and resistance. Walls separate interior from exterior, envelopes provide protection, and structural systems are generally designed to minimise deformation and failure. Lightweight architecture challenges this understanding. A textile, membrane, fibre system, or porous screen can define spatial conditions without relying on substantial thickness or mass. Through tension, density, filtering, and material organisation, relatively little material can produce enclosure, atmosphere, visual depth, and environmental mediation.

This suggests that architectural performance cannot be understood only through conventional measures of strength and permanence. Material behaviours such as flexibility, deformation, shrinkage, porosity, and responsiveness may also generate architectural capacity. However, these behaviours are often interpreted as weaknesses that should be eliminated through structural reinforcement or material stabilisation. This paper instead asks whether fragility can become productive when it is controlled rather than completely removed.

The concept of **productive fragility** developed in this research does not celebrate uncontrolled damage. Instead, it describes a material condition in which transformation remains architecturally useful. A material may deform, shrink, partially melt, or develop openings while maintaining sufficient continuity to contribute to spatial, perceptual, or tectonic performance. Fragility is therefore understood as material sensitivity: the capacity to respond to external forces and reveal critical states of transformation.

The research investigates this concept through heat-treated woven polyester. Textile provides a particularly relevant starting point because its architectural potential is embedded in relationships between fibres, crossings, tension, density, and openings. Heat treatment then modifies these existing relationships. Distributed heating can contract and partially fuse the woven surface, while localised thermal exposure can create differentiated stiffness, irregular openings, and visible traces of transformation.

The central argument is that a lightweight architectural boundary can derive its performance from the coexistence of different material states rather than from maximum uniform stiffness. By organising relatively stable, flexible, dense, and porous zones within a continuous material system, controlled fragility can contribute to form retention, permeability, environmental exchange, and material expression.

2. From Textile Surface to Architectural Boundary

The relationship between textile and architecture has a long theoretical history. Gottfried Semper's discussion of the textile origin of enclosure is particularly significant because it positions woven material as an early means of defining spatial boundaries. Textile can therefore be understood not simply as a decorative layer applied to architecture, but as a system capable of producing separation, enclosure, and spatial organisation.

This perspective is further developed through Anni Albers's understanding of weaving as both a visual and structural practice. A woven textile is organised through the crossing and interaction of fibres. Direction, density, spacing, friction, overlap, and tension are already embedded within the material system before any architectural form is imposed. Consequently, weaving can be understood as a method of organising material behaviour rather than merely generating pattern.

This research adopts this structural understanding of textile. The woven polyester is not treated as a miniature building or as a passive surface waiting to receive a predetermined geometry. Instead, its internal organisation becomes the basis for further transformation. Variations in weave density and fibre arrangement influence the ways in which the material contracts, fuses, deforms, and retains form under thermal exposure.

This approach is consistent with material-oriented design theories that consider material behaviour as an active participant in design generation. Material properties are not introduced only after geometry has been determined; instead, physical responses can inform form, performance, and fabrication. However, unpredictability alone does not produce architectural value. Material transformation requires observation and evaluation. Excessive shrinkage can interrupt continuity, while complete fusion may remove the flexibility that gives textile its distinctive spatial potential.

The architectural relevance of productive fragility therefore depends on identifying material thresholds. The objective is neither to preserve the textile in its completely untreated state nor to transform it into a uniformly rigid sheet. Instead, the research investigates the intermediate conditions in which thermal transformation creates new capabilities while preserving sufficient material continuity.

3. Materials and Methods

The research employs a practice-based material methodology combining repeated experimentation, documentation, comparison, and architectural interpretation. Physical samples constitute the primary source of evidence, while theoretical literature and architectural precedents provide a framework for evaluating their potential significance.

The selected material is a woven textile made from 100% polyester. Polyester was chosen because it exists between textile and plastic material conditions. Produced from polyethylene terephthalate (PET), it can be processed into fibres and subsequently organised into flexible woven structures. At the same time, its thermoplastic properties enable changes in form and physical characteristics when exposed to heat.

This relationship makes polyester suitable for investigating thermal transformation. Weaving establishes an initial organisation of density, direction, thickness, and geometry while the material remains flexible. Thermal treatment subsequently alters this organisation through contraction, fusion, softening, and localised material loss. The resulting form therefore emerges through the interaction between textile construction and heat rather than through a rigid mould.

Two forms of thermal treatment were investigated. The first was **kiln heating**, which produced relatively gradual and distributed exposure across the sample. This process was used to examine overall contraction, fusion between fibres and crossings, changes in stiffness, and increased form retention. The second was **localised torch treat-**

ISSN 3106-5767 (Print) ISSN 3106-7026 (Online)

ment, which produced more rapid and intense exposure. This method generated differential shrinkage, darkened or transformed edges, surface deformation, irregular perforation, and localised material loss.

Each sample was documented before and after treatment. Quantitative observations included temperature, heating duration, and dimensional change where possible. Qualitative assessment considered stiffness, flexibility, surface continuity, form retention, visual openness, texture, and the degree of controlled failure. The experiments did not constitute full engineering tests. Structural behaviour was evaluated through comparative observations of bending response, local stability, and the ability of the material to retain an altered form.

The research also examined three architectural precedents: KnitCandela, the ICD/ITKE Research Pavilion 2014–15, and Lumen. These projects were selected because they demonstrate three relevant principles: textile-led forming, differentiated stiffness, and permeable atmospheric boundaries.

4. Thermal Transformation and Material Thresholds

The experiments demonstrate that productive fragility is not a fixed property of polyester. Instead, it emerges across a range of material conditions.

Untreated woven polyester remains highly flexible and continuous but possesses limited ability to retain an independent form. Under moderate kiln heating, the material contracts and selected fibres or crossings begin to fuse. This process increases density and stiffness while allowing parts of the woven organisation to remain visible. The resulting surface is therefore neither conventional fabric nor a fully homogeneous plastic sheet. It becomes a differentiated material in which original textile organisation and thermally stabilised zones coexist.

Kiln heating is particularly significant because it demonstrates that increased stability does not require uniform transformation. Differences in material thickness, exposure, orientation, and density can produce different responses across the same sample. Some areas remain closer to the original flexible textile, while others become denser and more rigid. Such variation provides the basis for differentiated architectural roles.

Torch treatment produces a more localised form of fragility. Rapid exposure causes visible shrinkage, melting, bubbling, surface transformation, and material loss. At moderate levels, these effects can reinforce selected zones, tighten material, or create transitions between dense and porous conditions. At excessive levels, however, the woven connections are severed, edges become brittle, and the material loses continuity.

The distinction between these outcomes is central to the concept of productive fragility. Shrinkage, deformation, and local damage are not automatically valuable. They become productive only when they generate new material or spatial effects while sufficient continuity is retained. A severely burned or collapsed sample may visually demonstrate transformation, but it no longer contributes to a viable boundary system.

The experiments therefore reveal a material threshold between three broad states: untreated flexibility, productive transformation, and destructive failure. The boundary between these conditions is not fixed because temperature, duration, weave density, material thickness, and exposure vary between samples. Control does not mean complete predictability. Instead, it means developing an understanding of how the material moves from one state to another through repeated testing and comparison.

The combined use of kiln and torch treatment expands this range of possibilities. The kiln establishes an overall material condition through contraction and partial stabilisation. Localised torch treatment then intensifies selected areas, producing additional differences in stiffness, density, texture, and openness. A single component can therefore contain relatively stable zones alongside flexible and porous areas.

5. Permeability and Architectural Performance

One of the principal architectural effects produced through thermal transformation is permeability. In this study, permeability refers to the differentiated passage of light, air, and sightlines through a material boundary. It is not simply determined by whether holes are present.

Heat treatment alters the relationship between density and aperture. More heavily contracted or fused areas create greater visual screening and reduce direct light transmission. Less dense zones preserve larger openings and enable greater exchange between spaces. Localised perforation introduces additional visual and environmental connections. As a result, the material boundary operates neither as completely open nor completely closed.

This condition is important for lightweight architecture because enclosure does not depend exclusively on material thickness. A relatively thin boundary can generate privacy, atmosphere, visual filtering, and spatial depth through controlled variations in density. The boundary becomes an interface that mediates between openness and enclosure rather than a solid line that simply separates two conditions.

The architectural relevance of this approach can be compared with three existing projects. KnitCandela demonstrates how textile can actively participate in the generation of architectural form before a more rigid material system is established. Its primary relevance lies in the principle of textile-led forming. The ICD/ITKE Research Pavilion 2014–15 demonstrates how fibre orientation, density, and distribution can generate differentiated stiffness rather than uniform material performance. Lumen illustrates how an open textile system can produce atmosphere through light, shadow, visibility, and airflow.

The present research does not reproduce these systems directly. Unlike KnitCandela, the textile is intended to remain part of the final boundary rather than acting primarily as formwork. Unlike the ICD/ITKE Pavilion, the material is not designed as a high-performance load-bearing composite produced through robotic fabrication. Unlike Lumen, the polyester does not actively respond to environmental conditions after fabrication. Nevertheless, the three cases establish principles that can be translated into the current research: textile-led organisation, differentiated material performance, and permeability as spatial mediation.

The contribution of productive fragility lies in combining these principles through direct thermal transformation. Material performance is not distributed through advanced computational optimisation alone. Instead, weaving and heat treatment provide a process through which local differences emerge through physical feedback.

6. Productive Fragility as a Design Strategy

The results suggest that productive fragility can be understood as a strategy for organising material multiplicity. A lightweight boundary does not necessarily need to maximise a single property across its entire surface. Maximum stiffness may reduce flexibility; maximum openness may compromise privacy and protection; complete continuity may reduce permeability; and complete material stability may remove visible evidence of transformation.

Architectural performance can instead emerge from calibrated differences. Relatively dense and stable zones may contribute to form retention, connection, and local support. Flexible areas can accommodate bending and spatial variation. Open regions enable visual and environmental exchange. Thermally transformed edges and surfaces make the history of fabrication perceptible.

This approach also has implications for post-digital craft. Digital modelling can assist in exploring spatial applications and recording geometric change, but it does not fully determine the final material condition. The hand remains involved in weaving, positioning, exposing, observing, and adjusting the material. Fabrication therefore becomes a feedback process rather than the execution of a completely predetermined design.

Productive fragility is consequently not an argument against control. Rather, it proposes a different form of control based on calibration. The objective is to understand material uncertainty sufficiently to work with it. Repeated experimentation identifies when deformation produces useful differentiation and when it results in irreversible failure.

At the architectural scale, the material is most appropriately understood as a lightweight boundary supported by a secondary framework rather than as an independent load-bearing structure. Potential applications include spatial skins, interior partitions, ceilings, pavilion enclosures, filtering layers, and hybrid textile-frame systems. In these situations, the material can contribute to spatial definition and environmental mediation while relying on a more stable structural system where necessary.

7. Limitations and Future Research

The proposed material system has important limitations. First, polyester presents an environmental contradiction. Its thermoplastic properties enable thermal transformation, but it is generally derived from fossil-based resources, does not readily biodegrade, and may contribute to persistent pollution and microfibre release. The research therefore does not present polyester as an inherently sustainable architectural material.

Second, thermal processing requires energy. Kiln heating depends on prolonged energy input, while torch treatment relies on fuel and produces intense localised heat. The environmental implications of the process must therefore be evaluated in relation to material sourcing, processing energy, component lifespan, reuse, and end-of-life management.

Third, safety and structural performance require further investigation. Heat-treated polyester cannot be assumed to satisfy architectural fire requirements, and its behaviour under long-term loading, fatigue, ultraviolet exposure, rainfall, temperature fluctuation, and wind has not been established. Current experiments demonstrate local material transformation rather than full-scale engineering performance.

Finally, successful material samples cannot simply be enlarged geometrically to become buildings. Changes in scale introduce additional issues of self-weight, span, connection, thermal distribution, and environmental exposure. Future architectural development should therefore investigate hybrid systems involving supporting frames, replaceable components, modular segmentation, and reversible connections.

Further research could also compare recycled or alternative thermoplastic textiles, establish more precise relationships between thermal parameters and material performance, and integrate digital scanning and simulation with physical testing. Such developments could improve the reproducibility of productive transformation without eliminating the role of direct material feedback.

8. Conclusion

This paper has investigated how fragility can become a productive condition in lightweight architecture through

ISSN 3106-5767 (Print) ISSN 3106-7026 (Online)

the thermal transformation of woven polyester. Rather than treating deformation, shrinkage, and local material loss solely as failures, the research proposes that these behaviours can generate architectural capacity when they are controlled within a range that preserves sufficient material continuity.

The experiments demonstrate that kiln heating and localised torch treatment produce different but complementary material effects. Distributed heating contributes to shrinkage, fusion, increased stiffness, and form retention, while localised treatment generates differentiated surface conditions, perforation, visible material traces, and transitions between stable and fragile zones. When combined, these processes create a continuous but materially heterogeneous boundary.

The concept of productive fragility therefore challenges the assumption that architectural performance must result from maximum uniform strength. A lightweight boundary can derive value from differences in stiffness, density, flexibility, and permeability. Stable zones can contribute to support and form retention, while open and flexible zones enable environmental exchange and spatial variation. The architectural potential of the system lies in the relationship between these states.

The study also suggests that material experimentation can contribute to architectural knowledge through direct feedback. Weaving, heating, observing, and adjusting allow material behaviour to participate in the design process. Digital tools can support this process, but the final outcome is not completely predetermined. Controlled uncertainty becomes a source of information.

Productive fragility should nevertheless not be interpreted as an aestheticisation of weakness or damage. Its value depends on material continuity, programme, environmental conditions, duration of use, and structural support. Polyester's environmental burden, the energy requirements of thermal processing, safety concerns, and the absence of full-scale structural testing remain significant limitations.

Within these constraints, productive fragility offers a framework for lightweight architectural design in which material sensitivity is calibrated rather than eliminated. Heat-treated weaving demonstrates that a boundary does not need to be uniformly solid, rigid, or permanent to define architectural space. Through controlled transformation, a textile surface can become a differentiated interface that mediates between stability and flexibility, enclosure and openness, and material continuity and controlled change.

References

[1] Albers, A. (1965). *On Weaving*. Wesleyan University Press.

[2] Böhme, G. (1993). Atmosphere as the fundamental concept of a new aesthetics. *Thesis Eleven, 36*(1), 113–126.

[3] Gupta, V. B., & Bashir, Z. (2002). PET fibers, films, and bottles. In S. Fakirov (Ed.), *Handbook of Thermoplastic Polyesters*. Wiley-VCH.

[4] Menges, A. (Ed.). (2012). *Material Computation: Higher Integration in Morphogenetic Design*. Wiley.

[5] Menges, A. (2012). Material computation: Higher integration in morphogenetic design. *Architectural Design, 82*(2), 14–21.

[6] Museum of Modern Art. (2017). *Jenny Sabin Studio: Lumen*.

[7] Niinimäki, K., Peters, G., Dahlbo, H., Perry, P., Rissanen, T., & Gwilt, A. (2020). The environmental price of fast fashion. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1*, 189–200.

[8] Perera, H. A. A. E., & Lanarolle, W. D. G. (2020). Comparative study on the thermal shrinkage behaviour of polyester yarn and its plain knitted fabrics. *The Journal of the Textile Institute, 111*(12), 1755–1765.

[9] Popescu, M., Rippmann, M., Liew, A., Reiter, L., Flatt, R. J., Van Mele, T., & Block, P. (2021). Structural design, digital fabrication and construction of the cable-net and knitted formwork of the KnitCandela concrete shell. *Structures, 31*, 1287–1299.

[10] Semper, G. (1989). *The Four Elements of Architecture and Other Writings*. Cambridge University Press.

[11] Shigeru Ban Architects. (n.d.). *Paper House*.

[12] West, M. (2016). *The Fabric Formwork Book: Methods for Building New Architectural and Structural Forms in Concrete*. Routledge.